

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



DATE MAILED: 12/17/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/474,974	12/29/1999	BALWINDER S. SAMRA	17207-00004	3025
7:	590 12/17/2002			
JOHN S BEULICK ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE SUITE 2600			EXAMINER	
			VAN DOREN, BETH	
ST LOUIS, MO	0 631022740		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3623	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/474,974	SAMRA ET AL.	5
, and the second second	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Beth Van Doren	3623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply high places the application	y to a tion in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing dat b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA: 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	•	,	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n'better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: New limitations were added to independ	ent claims 1 and 11 and claims 22 a	and 23 were added.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se	reconsideration has been consi e Continuation Sheet.	dered but does NO	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered a w or appended.	ind an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:	,	\sim \leq	
	•	TARIO R. HAFIZ	
		ORY PETENT EXAM	REP
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	TECHN	OLOGY CENTER 360	0 `
· ·			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments with regards to claims 1-21 focus on the limitation "the targeting engine is configured to determine a sequential order for combining the models to define the target group, and combine the models in the determined sequential order". However, this limitation was added after amendment. Furthermore, in response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See In re McLaughlin, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971)..